App. F. IX-4

192 8-29 (Law)

File No. 68-28

Previous References
Law 68-28, 182

Serial Nos. 384.

Later References

376

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two

Part B for April 1929.

SUBJECT

Representation of Mr. G. Seshachar advocate Claiming a fee of Rs 200/- in studying the records in Mandalam Seminar Case 59 of 28

---

4. To the Hon Secretary
LP 6002 of 8.4.29

Note that it is not usual to give any remuneration in such work. Any remuneration may be entered in the Advocate's Bill

Recd
8/4/29

General and Revenue Secretariat

Law File No 68

App. F. III—2] of 1928.

Serial No.	
3	Letter no: J 1—4634/28.29 dated 4/11 march 1929 from the Dist. Bangalore. forwarding the representation of Mr C. Seshachar claiming payment of Rs 200/- for the work of Studying records etc in Hosakote Murder Case. In this case (S.C 5 of 28.29) Mr C. Seshachar was appointed as Spl PP by the Dist. Bangalore, on whose recommendation Govt sanctioned a fee of Rs 25/- per day. It appears that Mr. Seshachar has claimed a fee of Rs 525/-, although he had conducted the prosecution only for 13 days in the sessions Court & thus entitled to Rs 325/- only. The balance of Rs 200/- he claims for the work of Studying records of the case from 23.11.28 to 26.4.29 as also on 1st, 2nd, 8th & 9th Dec 28. The bill itself is not sent by the Dist for sanction of Govt, but solicits orders only. for payment of Rs 200/- claimed the additional sum of Dep 15/3/29 Asst 15/3 L.R.) 15/3 No claim for the added sum is to be admissible under the rules 1 5061 8/3 3rd Member 20/3 agree with Mr Rees Dist 3/4/29

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

Serial No.	
	Draft letter BOP 4/4/28 4/4 Issue M 4/4
4	Do. No. District magistrate Bangalore P 6002/ dated 8.4.28 intimating that no additional fee can be paid for returning records

(3)
BOP
8/4/28

WD 021—GPB—30,000—21-8-28

411928

In all future communications please quote—
No. Jl-4634/28-29.
Enc.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the 11th March 1929.

FROM A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, & District Magistrate,
Bangalore District. Bangalore.

To

P. Mahadevayya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject :—

Adverting to Government Order No.P.3217-18 Law 68-28 dated 15th December 1928 sanctioning a fee of Rs 25/- per day to Mr. C. Seshachar who conducted Bangalore Sessions Case No.5 of 28-29. I have the honour to state that Mr. Seshachar sent a Bill for Rs 525 only. It was certified by the Sessions that he attended the Court for 13 days and therefore the Bill was passed for Rs 325/- only. The Bill was handed over to Mr. Seshachar for encashment. He has now made a representation - claiming for the balance of Rs 200/-.

2. I submit herewith a copy of the representation for such orders as the Government may deem fit to pass.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

JSM/-

2

Letter No. dated 30th January 1929 from Mr. C. Seshachar, Bangalore to the District Magistrate, Bangalore District, Bangalore.

With reference to your official Memorandum No.Jl-2533 of 1928-29 dated the 23rd November 1928 in connection with Sessions Case No.5 of 1928-29 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore I beg to state that mu bill for conducting the case on behalf of the Government was passed for Rs 325/- only.

The sum of rupees two hundred not countersigned represents the work of studying the records of the case from 23rd November - 1928 to the 26th November 1928 along with the Police Officer who conducted the case in the Committing Magistrate's Court. The - Sessions Court did not work on the 1st, 2nd, 8th and 9th December 1928 but all the same I had to interview the Investigating and - other Police officers and receive instructions about the case for the defence.

In view of the importance of the case I had to devote extra time. In this circumstance the amount charged for 8 days is, it is submitted is reasonable. I would therefore request the favour of the payment of Rupees two hundred as per my bill.

Thanking you in advance and awaiting your further esteemed commands.

" C O P Y "

Seshachar

For District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

JSM/-

Appendix F G—1

3

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Leases

File No. 68-26

Serial No. 4

No. 6002

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The District Magistrate

Bangalore

Date of issue 8/4/29

Signed by JH NS

Sir

With reference to your letter No. J-1-4634/28-29 dated 11/12 March 1929 forwarding for consideration the representation of Mr C. Seshachar, advocate claiming ~~payment of an additional~~ a fee ~~of~~ Rs 200/- for ~~his services in~~ studying the records in Bangalore Leasing Cases of 28-29, I am directed to state that ~~the~~ it is not usual to give any ~~claim is unadmissible under~~ remuneration for such work ~~the rules & cannot be~~ and that the Advocate must be informed accordingly

(Yours

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sanctioned.

I have ch

for Secy

Roy
4.4.29

4/4